arXiv:1302.2560v1 [physics.flu-dyn] 11 Feb 2013

Hamiltonian dynamics of several rigid bodies interacting with point vortices

Steffen Weißmann*

February 12, 2013

Abstract

We introduce a Hamiltonian description for the dynamics of several rigid bodies interacting with point vortices in an inviscid, incompressible fluid. We adopt the idea of Vankerschaver et al. (2009) to derive the Hamiltonian formulation via symplectic reduction of a canonical Hamiltonian system on a principle fibre bundle. On the reduced phase space we determine the magnetic symplectic form directly, without resorting to the machinery of mechanical connections on principle fibre bundles. We derive the equations of motion for the general case, and also for the special Lie-Poisson case of a single rigid body and zero total vorticity. Finally we give a partly degenerate Lagrangian formulation for the system.

1 Introduction

The Hamiltonian dynamics of a single rigid body of arbitrary shape in a 2–dimensional inviscid and incompressible fluid interacting with n point vortices has first been formulated by Shashikanth (2005). The system was also studied by Borisov et al. (2007), dropping the restriction of zero circulation around the cylinder. Vankerschaver et al. (2009) showed that both formulations are indeed equivalent: Both can be derived when regarding the dynamics as geodesics on a Riemannian manifold, in the spirit of Arnold's geometric description of fluid dynamics. The manifold here is the cartesian product of SE(2) with a subset of volume-preserving embeddings of the initial fluid configuration into $\mathbb{R}^2$ (compatible with the time-dependent pose of the body), with kinetic energy as the Riemannian metric. When reducing the system to fluid velocity fields generated by point vortices, one obtains a finite-dimensional phase space with magnetic symplectic form, which yields the coupling between rigid body and point vortex motion.

In the absence of vorticity, the dynamics of several rigid bodies in a fluid has been studied by Nair and Kanso (2007). Their work is an extension of the original work by Kirchhoff (1870), who discovered that the kinetic energy of a surrounding potential flow can be incorporated into the kinetic energy of the rigid motion as *added mass*. In contrast to the case of a single rigid body, the kinetic energy of the potential flow around several moving obstacles is no longer a constant quadratic form, but depends on the relative poses of the different bodies. Using this kinetic energy as a Lagrangian, Nair and Kanso (2007) derive

---

*Institut für Mathematik, TU Berlin. Email: steffen.weissmann@gmail.com

the equations of motion for a number of configurations, and study them analytically and numerically.

In this paper we introduce the Hamiltonian dynamics of several rigid bodies interacting with point vortices, for the case of zero circulation around the individual bodies, but arbitrary strengths of the point vortices. This system has been known only for the case of a single rigid body. We adopt the description of the reduced phase space from Vankerschaver et al. (2009) and extend it to the case of several rigid bodies. On the reduced phase space we determine the magnetic symplectic form directly, using only general properties of the magnetic symplectic form, and Kelvin's circulation theorem. From there we derive the equations of motion for the general case, and also for the special Lie-Poisson case of a single rigid body and zero total vorticity. Finally we give a Lagrangian description of the system.

2 Physical Model

2.1 Rigid Body Configuration

Assume that we have a collection of n rigid bodies $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_n\}$ moving in an inviscid, incompressible fluid occupying the whole of $\mathbb{R}^2$. The bodies move rigidly, so their configuration is described by a curve $t \mapsto g$ in $\mathrm{SE}(2)^n$, and $\mathcal{B}$ is the image of the initial configuration $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ at $t = 0$: $\mathcal{B} = g(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}) = \{g_1(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1), \dots, g_n(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_n)\}$. We denote the body velocity by $\Omega \in \mathfrak{se}(2)^n$, i.e., $\dot{g} = g\Omega$. For the angular and linear velocity components of Ω_j we write (ω_j, v_j), i.e., $\Omega_j(z) = \omega_j \times z + v_j$.

2.2 Fluid Configuration

The time-dependent fluid domain $\mathcal{F}$ (including body boundaries) is covered with a fluid which is at rest at infinity and whose motion is given by the time-dependent fluid velocity field u. Its vorticity field $\omega = \operatorname{curl} u$ is zero everywhere, except for isolated *point vortices* $\gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$. There the vorticity field is concentrated in a delta-function-like manner. The circulation around each vortex is constant in time (due to Kelvin's circulation theorem) and measures the *strength* Γ_i of the vortex γ_i. We assume zero circulation around the individual bodies, and impose no-through boundary conditions. I.e., the normal component of the velocity field must coincide with the body boundary normal velocity, while the tangent velocity is arbitrary:

$$\langle u(z), \mathbf{n}_j(z) \rangle = \langle \dot{g}_j g_j^{-1}(z), \mathbf{n}_j(z) \rangle, \text{ for } z \in \partial\mathcal{B}_j. \tag{1}$$

2.2.1 Hodge-Helmholtz Decomposition

We will now construct the fluid velocity field u for a given configuration $(g, \dot{g}, \gamma)$. In the absence of bodies, the fluid velocity field whose vorticity is given by the point vortices γ with strengths Γ is determined by the Biot-Savart law:

$$u_{BS}(z) = \sum_i \Gamma_i \left(J \frac{\gamma_i - z}{\|\gamma_i - z\|^2} \right), \qquad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2}$$

This defines a vector field on the whole of $\mathbb{R}^2$, and we denote its restriction to the fluid domain $\mathcal{F}$ by $u_\gamma = u_{BS}|_{\mathcal{F}}$.

When bodies are present, the velocity field u_γ makes fluid particles move across the body boundaries. To fix this, we first construct a potential field $u_I = \operatorname{grad} \phi_I$ on $\mathcal{F}$ which compensates the normal flux of u_γ on the body boundaries, thus satisfying the boundary condition

$$\langle u_I(z), \mathbf{n}_j(z) \rangle = -\langle u_\gamma(z), \mathbf{n}_j(z) \rangle, \text{ for } z \in \partial \mathcal{B}_j. \tag{3}$$

The subscript I reflects the fact that u_I can be represented as *image vorticity* inside of the bodies or on their boundaries, see Saffman (1992, §2.4). The potential ϕ_I of u_I is uniquely determined by the Neumann problem

$$\frac{\partial \phi_I}{\partial \mathbf{n}}(z) = -\langle u_\gamma(z), \mathbf{n}(z) \rangle, \text{ for } z \in \partial \mathcal{F}, \quad \Delta \phi_I(z) = 0, \quad \lim_{z \to \infty} \operatorname{grad} \phi_I(z) = 0. \tag{4}$$

Now $u_\| = u_\gamma + u_I$ satisfies the boundary condition $\langle u_\|(z), \mathbf{n}(z) \rangle = 0$ on $\partial \mathcal{F}$. In other words, it is the correct fluid velocity field as long as the bodies are at rest. When they move we achieve boundary condition (1) by adding the potential field $u_\mathcal{B} = \operatorname{grad} \phi_\mathcal{B}$, obtained from the Neumann problem

$$\frac{\partial \phi_B}{\partial \mathbf{n}}(g_j(z)) = \langle \Omega_j(z), \mathbf{n}_j(z) \rangle, \text{ for } z \in \partial \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_j, \quad \Delta \phi_\mathcal{B}(z) = 0, \quad \lim_{z \to \infty} \operatorname{grad} \phi_\mathcal{B}(z) = 0. \tag{5}$$

The superposition $u = u_\gamma + u_I + u_\mathcal{B}$ is the unique fluid velocity field which satisfies boundary condition (1), has zero circulation around the individual bodies, vanishes at infinity, and whose vorticity field is given by the point vortices γ_i with strengths Γ_i.

2.2.2 Stream functions

Equivalently we can represent the velocity fields u_I and $u_\mathcal{B}$ in terms of their stream functions ψ_I and $\psi_\mathcal{B}$. I.e., $u = \operatorname{grad} \phi = J \operatorname{grad} \psi$. When we determine the symplectic form later on, we require the falloff behaviour of ψ_I, $\psi_\mathcal{B}$ and the variations $\partial_g \psi_I$, $\partial_g \psi_\mathcal{B}$ with respect to the body configuration g.

Lemma 1. *The functions ψ_I, $\psi_\mathcal{B}$, $\partial_g \psi_I$ and $\partial_g \psi_\mathcal{B}$ are $\mathcal{O}(|z|^{-1})$.*

Proof. Let u be u_I or $u_\mathcal{B}$, and ψ the stream function of u. We represent ψ as a single layer potential with density τ, i.e.,

$$\psi^j(z) = \oint_{\partial \mathcal{B}_j} \tau(y) \log \|y - g_j^{-1}(z)\| \, dy, \qquad \psi(z) = \sum\nolimits_j \psi^j(z).$$

Note that we can also view τ as the strength of a vortex sheet (i.e., a distribution of point vortices) on $\partial \mathcal{B}$, which generates u via the Biot-Savart law (2). Since the circulation of $u = \operatorname{grad} \phi$ around any $\mathcal{B}_j$ is zero, the total density of τ on each $\partial \mathcal{B}_j$, as well as its variation with respect to g, vanish:

$$\oint_{\partial \mathcal{B}_j} \tau(y) \, dy = \oint_{\partial \mathcal{B}_j} (\partial_g \tau)(y) \, dy = 0. \tag{6}$$

This implies $\psi^j(z) = \psi(z) = \mathcal{O}(|z|^{-1})$. For the variation with respect to g we obtain

$$\partial_g \psi^j(z) = \left\langle \oint_{\mathcal{B}_j} \tau(y) \frac{y - g_j^{-1}(z)}{\|y - g_j^{-1}(z)\|^2} \, dy, -\partial_g g_j^{-1}(z) \right\rangle + \oint_{\mathcal{B}_j} (\partial_g \tau)(y) \log \|y - g_j^{-1}(z)\| \, dy.$$

The second term is also a single layer potential and $\mathcal{O}(|z|^{-1})$ because of (6). The boundary integral in the first term is the velocity field generated by the image vorticity on $\partial\mathcal{B}_j$, rotated by $-\pi/2$. Thus it is $\mathcal{O}(|z|^{-2})$ because of (6), and so the first term is $\mathcal{O}(|z|^{-1})$ as well. $\square$

2.3 Kinetic Energy

The kinetic energy of the system is the sum of kinetic energy associated to the rigid body motion and the kinetic energy of the fluid velocity field. We will stick with the common practice to only consider the finite part of the kinetic energy of the fluid, ignoring the infinite self-energy of point vortices.

The kinetic energy of the motion of a single rigid body is given by its mass-inertia tensor $\mathcal{M}_j$: $T_{\mathcal{M}_j} = \frac{1}{2}\langle \Omega_j, \mathcal{M}_j\, \Omega_j \rangle$. Here we have identified Ω_j with a 3-vector containing $\mathcal{B}_j$'s angular and linear velocity. Summation over all bodies gives the kinetic energy of the motion in $\mathrm{SE}(2)^n$ as a quadratic form on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{se}(2)^n$: $T_{\mathcal{M}} = \frac{1}{2}\langle \Gamma, \mathcal{M}\,\Gamma \rangle$, where $\mathcal{M}$ contains the individual mass-inertia tensors on the diagonal. By left translation, this defines a left-invariant metric on $\mathrm{SE}(2)^n$.

The kinetic energy of the fluid velocity field u is

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathcal{F}} \|u\|^2 = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathcal{F}} \|u_{\|}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathcal{F}} \|u_{\mathcal{B}}\|^2, \tag{7}$$

since $u_{\|}$ and $u_{\mathcal{B}}$ L^2-orthogonal. The kinetic energy associated to $u_{\mathcal{B}}$ can be expressed in terms of *added mass* as a quadratic form on the body configuration space $\mathrm{SE}(2)^n$. We denote its representing matrix, the *added mass tensor*, by $\mathcal{K}_g$:

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathcal{F}} \|u_{\mathcal{B}}\|^2 = \frac{1}{2}\langle \Omega, \mathcal{K}_g\, \Omega \rangle.$$

For a single rigid body this goes back to Kirchhoff (1870), while the case of more than one body was worked out by Nair and Kanso (2007). Together with the kinetic energy associated to the rigid body motion, we define the *Kirchhoff tensor* $K = \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{K}_g$. The corresponding energy,

$$T_{\mathcal{B}} = \frac{1}{2}\langle K\Omega, \Omega \rangle,$$

can be viewed as a function on the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathrm{SE}(2)^n$: Define $P = K\Omega$, then

$$H_{\mathcal{B}} = \frac{1}{2}\langle K^{-1}P, P \rangle \tag{8}$$

is the Hamiltonian for the dynamics of rigid bodies in potential flow.

The kinetic energy associated to $u_{\|}$ contains infinite self-energy terms that we ignore. The finite part is given as the negative of the *Kirchhoff-Routh function* W_G (Lin, 1941; Shashikanth, 2005):

$$W_G = \frac{1}{2}\sum_i \Gamma_i \left(\psi_I(\gamma_i) + \sum_{i \neq j} \psi_{\gamma_j}(\gamma_i) \right), \tag{9}$$

where $\psi_{\gamma_j}(z) = -\Gamma_j \log \|\gamma_j - z\|$ is the stream function of the point vortex γ_j. Note that

$$\partial_{\gamma_i} W_G = -\Gamma_i J u_{\|}(\gamma_i). \tag{10}$$

The total kinetic energy is of the coupled system is

$$H = H_{\mathcal{B}} - W_G = T_{\mathcal{B}} - W_G. \tag{11}$$

3 Hamiltonian Formulation

In analogy to Arnold's geometric description of fluid dynamics (Arnold, 1966), the dynamics of rigid bodies interacting with a surrounding incompressible fluid can be viewed as a geodesic problem on a Riemannian manifold. The kinetic energy defines a Riemannian metric on the configuration space, and the geodesics satisfy Hamilton's equations for the kinetic energy as the Hamiltonian, and the cotangent bundle with canonical symplectic structure as phase space. This insight is due to Vankerschaver et al. (2009). From the general cotangent bundle description, the authors use the framework of cotangent bundle reduction (Marsden et al., 2007) to obtain a reduced Hamiltonian system with magnetic symplectic form for the case of a single body in a fluid whose vorticity field is concentrated at point vortices.

We will make use of the fact that the reduction by Vankerschaver et al. (2009) does not rely on the fact that only a single rigid body was considered. However, when the authors derive the precise form of the magnetic symplectic form, they make use of the assumption of a single (and even circular) rigid body. At this point we will deviate from Vankerschaver et al. (2009) and determine the magnetic symplectic form directly. In contrast, they compute the magnetic symplectic form using the machinery of mechanical connections (the Neumann connection here) on principle fibre bundles.

The Hamiltonian formulation of Vankerschaver et al. (2009) is an extension of Arnold's original work (Arnold, 1966), where he described the motion of an incompressible inviscid fluid in some fixed fluid domain $\mathcal{F}$ as a geodesic on the group $\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{vol}}(\mathcal{F})$ of volume-preserving diffeomorphisms on $\mathcal{F}$. In the case when the fluid interacts with rigid bodies, the fluid domain is no longer fixed. The idea of Vankerschaver et al. (2009) is to consider the space $\mathrm{Emb}_{\mathrm{vol}}(\mathcal{F}^0, \mathbb{R}^2)$ of volume-preserving embeddings of an initial reference configuration $\mathcal{F}^0$ into $\mathbb{R}^2$ instead of $\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{vol}}(\mathcal{F})$. Any incompressible fluid motion is then described by a curve $f \colon t \mapsto \phi_t$ which satisfies the condition $\phi_t(\mathcal{F}^0) = \mathcal{F}^t$, where $\mathcal{F}^t$ denotes the time dependent fluid domain at time t. The configuration space of the coupled system is $Q = \mathrm{SE}(2)^n \times (Q^{\mathcal{F}} \subset \mathrm{Emb}_{\mathrm{vol}}(\mathcal{F}^0, \mathbb{R}^2))$, and the dynamics is a canonical Hamiltonian system with kinetic energy as the Hamiltonian and T^*Q with canonical symplectic structure as phase space.

The kinetic energy is invariant under volume-preserving diffeomorphisms of the initial fluid configuration $\mathcal{F}^0$ (*particle relabelling symmetry*), i.e., the symmetry group $\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{vol}}(\mathcal{F}^0)$ acts from the right on $Q^{\mathcal{F}}$, and thus on Q. This action makes Q into the total space of a principle fibre bundle over $\mathrm{SE}(2)^n$. This principle fibre bundle structure allows to follow the famous Kaluza-Klein approach to determine the Hamiltonian dynamics. In order to factor out the $\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{vol}}(\mathcal{F}^0)$-symmetry one needs to fix a value of the associated momentum map. This is where the assumption is used that vorticity is concentrated at m point vortices. The reduced phase space is $Q_{red} = T^*SE(2)^n \times \mathbb{R}^{2m}$, see Vankerschaver et al. (2009, §4.2), and the dynamics is given using the reduced Hamiltonian together with a reduced symplectic form σ_{red} on Q_{red}. The reduced symplectic form σ_{red} is the sum of the canonical symplectic form on $T^*\mathrm{SE}(2)^n$ and a magnetic term σ_β on $\mathrm{SE}(2)^n \times \mathbb{R}^{2m}$, see Vankerschaver et al. (2009, Eqn. (44)).

Before we determine σ_β we summarise the results that we adopt from Vankerschaver et al. (2009):

Theorem 1. *The dynamics of n rigid bodies interacting with m isolated point vortices is a Hamiltonian system. The phase space of the system is*

$$Q_{red} = T^*\mathrm{SE}(2)^n \times \mathbb{R}^{2m},$$

where $T^*\mathrm{SE}(2)^n$ is the cotangent bundle of the rigid body configuration, and $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$ is the phase space for m point vortices. A point $(p, g, \gamma) \in Q_{red}$ consists of the rigid body momentum $p \in T^*_g\mathrm{SE}(2)^n$, the rigid body configuration $g \in \mathrm{SE}(2)^n$, and the point vortex state γ. The momentum $p = \mathrm{Ad}^*_{g^{-1}} P$ encodes the rigid body velocity $\dot{g} = Ad_g\Omega$ (using the Adjoint representation of $\mathrm{SE}(2)^n$) through $P = K^{-1}\Omega$. The Hamiltonian of the system is the kinetic energy as a function on phase space:

$$H = -W_G + H_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

The symplectic form σ_{red} for the system is

$$\sigma_{red} = \sigma_{can} + \pi_p^*\sigma_\beta,$$

where σ_{can} is the canonical symplectic form on the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathrm{SE}(2)^n$, and $\pi_p^*\sigma_\beta$ is a magnetic term*: I.e., it is a two-form on $SE(2)^n \times \mathbb{R}^{2m}$, lifted to Q_{red} through the canonical projection $\pi_p\colon (p, g, \gamma) \mapsto (g, \gamma)$.*

Proof. This has been proven by Vankerschaver et al. (2009, §4). We emphasise here that the proofs do not rely of the fact that only a single rigid body was considered. ☐

It is now where deviate from Vankerschaver et al. (2009) in order to obtain the magnetic term for the case of several rigid bodies. While they compute σ_β directly from the curvature of the Neumann connection, we determine it form from general properties of a magnetic symplectic form, and the limiting behaviour of the system for large distance between bodies and point vortices. Together with Kelvin's circulation theorem, this allows to determine the magnetic symplectic form directly from first principles, without resorting to the machinery of mechanical connections on principle fibre bundles.

We will now study the behaviour of the coupled dynamical system in the limit when rigid bodies and point vortices are far apart. Assume that both bodies and point vortices are contained in two disjoint disks of finite radius, and let d denote the minimal distance between these disks. We will now show that in the limit $d \to \infty$ the system decouples: The rigid bodies move as if no point vortices were present (so-called hydrodynamically coupled rigid bodies) (Nair and Kanso, 2007), and the point vortices move as if no boundaries were present. Hydrodynamically coupled rigid bodies are a canonical Hamiltonian system on the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathrm{SE}(2)^n$, with Hamiltonian $H_{\mathcal{B}}$, see Equation (8). The dynamics of m point vortices γ_i (with strengths Γ_i) in the plane is a Hamiltonian system with phase space $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$, and symplectic form

$$\sigma_\gamma(\dot{\gamma}, \mathring{\gamma}) = \sum\nolimits_i \Gamma_i \det(\dot{\gamma}, \mathring{\gamma}).$$

The phase space $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$ is a coadjoint orbit of the initial vorticity field under the action of the formal Lie-group $\mathrm{Diff}_{\mathrm{vol}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ of volume-preserving diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^2$, and σ_γ is the *Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau* form on that orbit. The Hamiltonian here is

$$H_\gamma = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_i \Gamma_i \sum_{j \neq i} \psi_{\gamma_j}(\gamma_i).$$

The decoupling can be expressed as follows:

Lemma 2. *The dynamical system decouples in the limit $d \to \infty$, i.e.,*

$$\lim_{d\to\infty} \sigma_\beta = \pi_g^*\sigma_\gamma, \tag{12}$$

where π_g is the canonical projection $(g, \gamma) \mapsto \gamma$.

Proof. We lift the two uncoupled systems to Q_{red} through canonical projections, and suppress this lift in our notation. Now consider the difference of Hamilton's equations of the coupled and the two uncoupled systems. We have

$$\sigma_{red} - \sigma_{can} - \sigma_\gamma = \sigma_\beta - \sigma_\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad H - H_{\mathcal{B}} - H_\gamma = -W_G - H_\gamma = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_i \Gamma_i \psi_I(\gamma_i),$$

and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_\beta((\dot g, \dot\gamma), (\mathring{g}, \mathring{\gamma})) - \sigma_\gamma(\dot\gamma, \mathring{\gamma}) &= \mathrm{d}(H - H_{\mathcal{B}} - H_\gamma)(\mathring{g}, \mathring{\gamma}) \\ &= \sum_i \Gamma_i \left(-\frac{1}{2}\langle \partial_g \psi_I(\gamma_i), \mathring{g}\rangle + \langle J u_I(\gamma_i), \mathring{\gamma}_i\rangle \right).\end{aligned}$$

The right hand side vanishes the limit $d \to \infty$ because of Lemma 1 and the construction of u_I, see the Equation (4). □

Using the fact that point vortices are frozen into the fluid flow due to Kelvin's circulation theorem, i.e., $\dot\gamma_i = u(\gamma_i)$, we can now determine a primitive one-form for σ_β:

Theorem 2. *The one-form*

$$\alpha_\beta(\dot g, \dot\gamma) = \alpha_\gamma(\dot\gamma) + \langle P^C, \Omega\rangle, \quad \alpha_\gamma(\dot\gamma) = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_i \Gamma_i \langle J\gamma_i, \dot\gamma_i\rangle, \quad P^C = \sum_i \Gamma_i \Psi_{\mathcal{B}}(\gamma_i),$$

is a primitive one-form of the magnetic term σ_β of the reduced symplectic form σ_{red} on Q_{red}. The components of $\Psi_{\mathcal{B}}$ are basis stream functions of $u_{\mathcal{B}}$, i.e., $\psi_{\mathcal{B}}(z) = \langle \Psi_{\mathcal{B}}(z), \Omega\rangle$.

Proof. We can write σ_{red} as

$$\sigma_{red}((\dot p, \dot g, \dot\gamma), (\mathring{p}, \mathring{g}, \mathring{\gamma})) = \sigma_{can}((\dot p, \dot g), (\mathring{p}, \mathring{g})) + \underbrace{\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \mathring{g} \\ \mathring{\gamma} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} J_{\mathcal{B}} & M_I \\ -M_I^t & J_\gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot g \\ \dot\gamma \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle}_{\sigma_\beta((\dot g, \dot\gamma), (\mathring{g}, \mathring{\gamma}))}, \tag{13}$$

where M_I, $J_{\mathcal{B}}$ and J_γ depend only on g and γ, and $J_{\mathcal{B}}$ and J_γ are screw-symmetric matrices. Now consider a solution $(p(t), g(t), \gamma(t))$ to Hamilton's equations. In particular we have $\sigma_{red}((\dot p, \dot g, \dot\gamma), (0, 0, \mathring{\gamma})) = \mathrm{d}H(0, 0, \mathring{\gamma})$, i.e.,

$$\langle \mathring{\gamma}, -M_I^t \cdot \dot g + J_\gamma \cdot \dot\gamma\rangle = \textstyle\sum_i \Gamma_i \langle J u_{\parallel}(\gamma_i), \mathring{\gamma}_i\rangle. \tag{14}$$

Neither σ_β nor the right hand side depend on p, so the above equation holds for any solution in the p-fibre over (g, γ). So we can consider $p = 0$ which implies $\dot g = 0$ and thus $\dot\gamma_i = u_{\parallel}(\gamma_i)$. This determines J_γ: the i-th diagonal block is $\Gamma_i J$. Plugging J_γ into (14) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathring{\gamma}, -M_I^t \cdot \dot g\rangle &= -\sum_i \Gamma_i \langle J u_{\mathcal{B}}(\gamma_i), \mathring{\gamma}_i\rangle = \sum_i \Gamma_i \langle \operatorname{grad}\langle \Psi_{\mathcal{B}}(\gamma_i), \Omega\rangle, \mathring{\gamma}_i\rangle \\ &= \Big\langle \Omega, \left(\partial_\gamma P^C\right) \cdot \mathring{\gamma} \Big\rangle = \Big\langle \dot g, \underbrace{\partial_\gamma \left(\mathrm{Ad}^*_{g^{-1}} P^C\right)}_{-M_I} \cdot \mathring{\gamma} \Big\rangle,\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

which determines M_I. From J_γ and M_I we can find a primitive one-form of σ_β as follows. Look at the restriction of σ_{red} to the $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$-factor. Since J_γ does not depend on g we have

$$\sigma_{red}((0,0,\dot\gamma),(0,0,\mathring{\gamma})) = \pi^*_{(p,g)}\sigma_\gamma(\dot\gamma,\mathring{\gamma}),$$

with σ_γ the Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau form on $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$ and $\pi_{(p,g)}\colon (p,g,\gamma)\mapsto\gamma$ the canonical projection. Since σ_γ is an exact two-form on $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$, we may assume that σ_β possesses a primitive one-form of the form $\alpha_\beta(\dot\gamma,\dot g) = \langle A(g,\gamma),\dot g\rangle + \langle B(\gamma),\dot\gamma\rangle$ and compute

$$\mathrm{d}\alpha((\dot g,\dot\gamma),(\mathring{g},\mathring{\gamma})) = \langle \mathring{g}, \underbrace{[(\partial_g A)^t - \partial_g A]}_{J_{\mathcal{B}}}\,\dot g - \underbrace{\partial_\gamma A}_{-M_I}\,\dot\gamma\rangle + \langle\mathring{\gamma}, \underbrace{[(\partial_\gamma B)^t - \partial_\gamma B]}_{J_\gamma}\,\dot\gamma + \underbrace{(\partial_\gamma A)^t}_{-M_I^t}\,\dot g\rangle.$$

Equation (15) determines A up to a contribution $\tilde A$ that is independent of γ: $A = \tilde P^C + \tilde A$, with $\tilde P^C = \mathrm{Ad}^*_{g^{-1}} P^C$. Then

$$J_{\mathcal{B}} = (\partial_g \tilde P^C)^t - \partial_g \tilde P^C + (\partial_g \tilde A)^t - \partial_g \tilde A,$$

and, when considering the limit $d\to\infty$ using the Lemmas 1 and 2, we get

$$\lim_{d\to\infty}\left((\partial_g\tilde A)^t - \partial_g\tilde A\right) = 0.$$

It follows that $\tilde A$ does not contribute to $J_{\mathcal{B}}$ at all because it is independent of d, and we may choose $\tilde A\equiv 0$. It remains to check that $\alpha_\gamma(\dot\gamma)$ is a primitive one-form of σ_γ on $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$, which completes the proof. □

3.1 Equations of Motion

We can now derive the equations of motion by extremizing the action

$$S = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \alpha_{red} - H\,dt = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \langle P,\Omega\rangle + \alpha_\gamma(\dot\gamma) + \underbrace{\langle P^C,\Omega\rangle}_{W_{\mathcal{B}}} + W_G - H_{\mathcal{B}}\,dt, \tag{16}$$

where α_{red} is a primitive one-form of σ_{red}. Note that $W = W_{\mathcal{B}} + W_G$ is the Kirchhoff-Routh function extended to rigidly moving boundaries, see Shashikanth et al. (2002).

Let $\mathring{P}$ be an arbitrary variation of P, while $\mathring{g}$ and $\mathring{\gamma}$ are variations with fixed end points. We obtain

$$\mathrm{d}S(\mathring{P},0,\mathring{\gamma}) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1}\langle\mathring{P},\Omega - K^{-1}P\rangle + \sigma_\gamma(\dot\gamma,\mathring{\gamma}) + \partial_\gamma W(\mathring{\gamma})\,dt,$$

which just restates that $P = K\Omega$ and $\dot\gamma_i = u(\gamma_i)$. Now consider $\mathring{g} = g\Lambda$, which implies the Lin-constraint $\mathring{\Omega} = \mathrm{ad}_\Omega\Lambda + \dot\Lambda$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}S(0,\mathring{g},0) &= \int_{t_0}^{t_1}\langle\mathring{\Omega}, P + P^C\rangle + \partial_g W(\mathring{g}) - \partial_g H_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathring{g})\,dt\\ &= \int_{t_0}^{t_1}\langle\Lambda, -(\dot P + \dot P^C) + \mathrm{ad}^*_\Omega(P + P^C) + \mathrm{Ad}^*_g\,\partial_g(W - H_{\mathcal{B}})\rangle\,dt.\end{aligned}$$

We obtain the following

Corollary 1. *The equations of motion for n rigid bodies interacting with m point vortices in an inviscid, incompressible fluid are:*

$$\begin{aligned} P_H &= K\Omega + P^C, \\ \dot{P}_H &= \operatorname{ad}^*_\Omega P_H + \operatorname{Ad}^*_g \partial_g (W - H_{\mathcal{B}}), \\ \dot{\gamma}_i &= u(\gamma_i), \\ \dot{g} &= g\Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

3.1.1 The Lie-Poisson case

A particularly interesting special case is the one of a single rigid body, with point vortex strengths that sum up to zero. In this case the equations of motion are a Lie-Poisson system. This has been proven earlier in (Shashikanth, 2005; Borisov et al., 2007), and we will now derive it from our general equations of motion (17), by expressing them in the body frame of reference. This amounts to factoring out the SE(2)-symmetry of the system. Note that this symmetry also exists in the case of several bodies, and one could factor out the symmetry by expressing the equations of motion in the frame of reference of one particular body.

In the case of a single body we can express the Kirchhoff-Routh function in the body frame of reference: $\tilde{W}(l_1, \ldots, l_m) = W(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m)$, where $l_i = g^{-1}(\gamma_i)$ are the point vortex positions in the body frame of reference. Here we have

$$\partial_{l_i} \tilde{W} = -\Gamma_i J \tilde{u}(l_i),$$

where $\tilde{u}$ is the fluid velocity field u expressed in the body frame of reference. Now it is important to notice that all the stream functions involved in $\tilde{W}$ are independent of g. Thus, together with Lemma 3, we obtain the following for the variation of $\tilde{W}$ with respect to g:

$$\partial_g W(g\Lambda) = \Gamma_i \langle J\tilde{u}(l_i), \Lambda(l_i)\rangle = \sum_i \Gamma_i \Big\langle \begin{pmatrix} \langle l_i, \tilde{u}(l_i)\rangle \\ J\tilde{u}(l_i) \end{pmatrix}, \Lambda \Big\rangle = \langle -\dot{P}_l + \operatorname{ad}^*_\Omega P_l, \Lambda \rangle,$$

with

$$P_l = -\sum \Gamma_i \begin{pmatrix} \|l_i\|^2/2 \\ J l_i \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Kirchhoff tensor does not depend on g in the case of a single rigid body, so the equations of motion are

$$\dot{P}_H = \operatorname{ad}^*_\Omega P_H, \qquad \dot{l}_i = -\Omega(l_i) + \tilde{u}(l_i), \qquad P_H = K\Omega + P^C + P_l. \tag{18}$$

The sum $P^C + P_l$ is the momentum of the fluid velocity field, see Shashikanth (2005), and the equations of motion agree with the ones derived therein.

Lemma 3. *Let l_i and $\tilde{u}$ denote point vortices and the fluid velocity field, both in the body frame of reference. Under the assumption that the vortex strengths sum up to zero, the following equality holds:*

$$\sum_i \Gamma_i \begin{pmatrix} \langle l_i, \tilde{u}(l_i)\rangle \\ J\tilde{u}(l_i) \end{pmatrix} = -\dot{P}_l + \operatorname{ad}^*_\Omega P_l, \quad P_l = -\sum_i \Gamma_i \begin{pmatrix} \|l_i\|^2/2 \\ J l_i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Compute $\dot{P}_l$, using $\dot{l}_i = -\Omega(l_i) + \tilde{u}(l_i)$ and $\sum_i \Gamma_i = 0$. ☐

4 Lagrangian Formulation

We obtain a Lagrangian formulation for the dynamical system by considering the action (16) as a functional on the tangent bundle of the configuration space $\mathrm{SE}(2)^n \times \mathbb{R}^{2m}$:

$$\tilde{S} = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \alpha_\gamma(\dot{\gamma}) + W + T_{\mathcal{B}} \, dt. \tag{19}$$

When extremizing $\tilde{S}$ we obtain the same equations of motion, since $\partial_g H_{\mathcal{B}} = -\partial_g T_{\mathcal{B}}$. In this way we have proven the following

Corollary 2. *The coupled system of rigid bodies and point vortices is a Lagrangian system with configuration space* $\mathrm{SE}(2)^n \times \mathbb{R}^{2m}$. *The Lagrangian is*

$$L(g, \dot{g}, \gamma, \dot{\gamma}) = \alpha_\gamma(\dot{\gamma}) + W + T_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

The Lagrangian here is partly degenerate, i.e., it is affine in point vortex velocities. Thus, for numerical purposes, it fits into the framework of variational integrators for degenerate Lagrangian systems, see Rowley and Marsden (2002).

5 Conclusions and Outlook

We have introduced the Hamiltonian description for several rigid bodies interacting with point vortices, assuming zero circulation around the individual bodies, but arbitrary point vortex strengths. This system has been known only for the case of a single rigid body. We have used the general framework of cotangent bundle reduction only to determine the reduced phase space of the system, as well as the general structure of the symplectic form on the reduced phase space. From there we have determined the symplectic form directly, without resorting to the abstract framework of mechanical connections. We have derived the equations of motion for the general case, and also for the Lie-Poisson case of a single rigid body with zero total strength of the point vortices. From the Hamiltonian formulation we have also given a Lagrangian description of the dynamics. This allows to use the framework of variational integration (Marsden and West, 2001) to construct structure– and momentum–preserving integrators.

We expect that our formulation generalises to the 3–dimensional case, describing the dynamics of several rigid bodies interacting with vortex filaments. This system is also only known for the case of a single rigid body (Shashikanth et al., 2008).

Acknowledgment: It is a pleasure to thank Ulrich Pinkall for his support, for interesting discussions and invaluable suggestions. This work is supported by the DFG Research Center Matheon and the SFB/TR 109 “Discretization in Geometry and Dynamics”.

References

Arnold, V. (1966). Sur la gomtrie diffrentielle des groupes de lie de dimension infinie et ses applications l’hydrodynamique des fluides parfaits. *Annales de l’institut Fourier*, 16(1):319–361.

Borisov, A. V., Mamaev, I. S., and Ramodanov, S. M. (2007). Dynamic interaction of point vortices and a two-dimensional cylinder. *J. Math. Phys.*, 48(6):065403.

Kirchhoff, G. R. (1870). Über die Bewegung eines Rotationskörpers in einer Flüssigkeit. *Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik*, 71:237–262.

Lin, C. C. (1941). On the motion of vortices in two dimensions - I and II. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 27:570–575.

Marsden, J., Misiolek, G., and Ortega, J. (2007). *Hamiltonian Reduction by Stages.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin.

Marsden, J. E. and West, M. (2001). Discrete mechanics and variational integrators. *Acta Numer.*, 10:357–514.

Nair, S. and Kanso, E. (2007). Hydrodynamically coupled rigid bodies. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 592:393–411.

Rowley, C. W. and Marsden, J. E. (2002). Variational integrators for degenerate Lagrangians, with application to point vortices. *Proc. 41st IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, 2:1521–1527.

Saffman, P. G. (1992). *Vortex Dynamics.* Cambridge Univ. Press.

Shashikanth, B. N. (2005). Poisson brackets for the dynamically interacting system of a 2D rigid cylinder and N point vortices: The case of arbitrary smooth cylinder shapes. *Regul. Chaotic Dyn.*, 10:1–14.

Shashikanth, B. N., Marsden, J. E., Burdick, J. W., and Kelly, S. D. (2002). The Hamiltonian structure of a two-dimensional rigid circular cylinder interacting dynamically with N point vortices. *Phys. Fluids*, 14(3):1214.

Shashikanth, B. N., Sheshmani, A., David, S., and Jerrold, K. (2008). Hamiltonian structure for a neutrally buoyant rigid body interacting with N vortex rings of arbitrary shape: the case of arbitrary smooth body shape. *Theor. Comput. Fluid Dyn.*, pages 37–64.

Vankerschaver, J., Kanso, E., and Marsden, J. E. (2009). The geometry and dynamics of interacting rigid bodies and point vortices. *J Geom Mech.*, 1(2):223–266.